Table 1. Delineate Basins and Identify Receiving Waters.

Metric/ Basin	Basin Identification		Basin Area		Receiving Waters					Basin Jurisdiction Control			
	City 2020 Comprehensive Plan Basin Name	SMAP Basin Name	Area (square miles)	Area (acres)	Streams	Stream Type	Lakes	Marine	Discharge to Receiving Waters: Yes/No	In City (acres)	Percent In City	Percent in City MUGA[3]	Percent in City + MUGA
	Hall Creek Basin	Hall Creek-Ballinger Basin	8.25	5,283	Hall Creek, McAleer Creek	F = Fish	Lake Ballinger, Hall Lake, Echo Lake, Chase Lake	Puget Sound	Yes	819	15.5%	0.0%	15.5%
	Lund's Gulch Creek Basin	Lund's Gulch Creek Basin	2.46	1,576	Lund's Gulch Creek	F = Fish	None	Puget Sound	Yes	242	15.4%	73.8%	89.1%
	Meadowdale Pond Basin	Meadowdale Pond Basin	0.44	284	None	Unknown	None	None	No - Drains to Meadowdale Facility only	261	91.8%	0.0%	91.8%
	Perrinville Creek Basin	Perrinville Creek Basin	1.33	849	Perrinville Creek	F = Fish	None	Puget Sound	Yes	474	55.8%	0.0%	55.8%
	Puget Sound Basin	Puget Sound Basin	0.87	556	Stilthouse Creek, Terrace Creek, Outfall Creek	F = Fish	None	Puget Sound	Yes	74	13.2%	0.0%	13.2%
	Scriber Creek Basin Aggregate	Includes Poplar, Golde, and Scriber Creek Basins	6.28	4,021	See below three basins within Scriber Creek Basin Aggregate	See below three basins within Scriber Creek Basin Aggregate	See below three basins within Scriber Creek Basin Aggregate	See below three basins within Scriber Creek Basin Aggregate	See below three basins within Scriber Creek Basin Aggregate	2,717	67.6%	15.2%	82.8%
	Poplar Creek Basin	Poplar Creek Basin	0.37	234	Poplar Creek	F = Fish	Lake Washington	Puget Sound	Yes	127	54.4%	43.4%	97.9%
	Golde Creek Basin	Golde Creek Basin	1.37	875	Golde Creek	F = Fish	Lake Washington	Puget Sound	Yes	393	44.9%	45.8%	90.7%
	Scriber Creek Basin	Scriber Creek Basin	4.55	2,912	Scriber Creek, Leech Creek, Park-N-Ride Creek, Off-Ramp Creek	F = Fish	Scriber Lake, Lake Washington	Puget Sound	Yes	2,197	75.4%	3.7%	79.2%
	Swamp Creek Aggregate	Includes Swamp and Tunnel Creek Basins	15.59	9,975	See below two basins within Swamp Creek Basin Aggregate	See below two basins within Swamp Creek Basin Aggregate	See below two basins within Swamp Creek Basin Aggregate	See below two basins within Swamp Creek Basin Aggregate	See below two basins within Swamp Creek Basin Aggregate	444	4.5%	23.6%	28.1%
	Swamp Creek Basin	Swamp Creek Basin	15.10	9,667	Swamp Creek, Little Swamp Creek, Blueberry Creek, Crystal Creek, Box Springs Creek, Ash Way Creek, Alder Creek, Dogwood Creek, I-5 Creek	[1]F = Fish, S = Shoreline	Stickney Lake, Lake Washington	Puget Sound	Yes	154	1.6%	24.2%	25.8%
	Tunnel Creek Basin	Tunnel Creek Basin	0.48	308	Tunnel Creek, Maple 525 Creek	[2]Unknown, F = Fish	Lake Washington	Puget Sound	Yes	289	94.0%	6.3%	100.0%
Data Source	King County topographic basins; Snohomish County topographic basins; City of Edmonds basin delineation; Lynnwood stormwater system mapping				City of Lynnwood GIS mapping: streams, DNR Streams	DNR Streams				Lynnwood City Limits from Snohomish County	Lynnwood City Limits from Snohomish County	[3]Lynnwood Municipal Urban Growth Area	
Notes	Includes all areas with City MS4 influence	Revisions from the 2020 Comprehensive Plan delineation were made to align with updated stormwater pipe data. See Figure 1 map for watershed boundaries.	1-20 sq miles is target basin size	400-600 acres is target catchment size	Major stream listed first, followed by minor streams Some names filled in via reference from WDFW fish barriers: Little Swamp Creek, Blueberry Creek, Crystal Creek, Box Springs Creek	Stream type of the major stream. [1]Swamp Creek - north portion is F, south portion is S [2]Tunnel Creek - Tunnel is Unknown, but Maple 525 main stem listed as F.				Exclude Urban Growth Area, County, and neighboring cities			

WDFW=Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife

Table 2. Assess Receiving Water Conditions.

Metric/Basin	Water Quality												Water Resource Uses							
	Bioassessment Score		303(d) Listed Water Bodies				Other Water Quality Information						ESA Listed Salmon Units	Salmonids and Resident Fish Use				Public Contact Recreation Areas	Water Supply: Acres in Wellhead Protection Area	Presence of Fish Hatchery
	Benthic Index of Biotic Integrity (B-IBI)	Year Sampled	Dissolved Oxygen	Temper-ature	Bacteria	Phos-phorus	Ecology Water Clean Up Plan (Total Maximum Daily Load)	Lake Health Assessment	Water Flow Importance	Water Flow Degradation	Metals Export Potential	Sediment Export Potential	Chinook, Steelhead - Yes/No	Documented Presence	Accessible, No Presence Documented	Rearing	Spawn-ing			
Hall Creek-Ballinger Basin	50.2 - Fair (McAleer Creek)	2018	No listing	No listing	Hall Creek, Lake Ballinger, McAleer Creek	No listing	Lake Ballinger - Phosphorus	[1]Lake Ballinger- Fair [2]Echo Lake - Good [3]Hall Lake - Poor	High	High	Low	Low	Yes	Coho Fall Chinook Sockeye Winter Steelhead Resident Cutthroat	Not Applicable	Coho	Sockeye Coho Fall Chinook	Lake Ballinger Park Beach (swimming); Lake Ballinger Boat Launch; Echo Lake Boat Launch	206.95	Hall Lake - remote site incubator; McAleer Creek Project - remote site incubator; Lake Ballinger Project - Boeing Creek
Lund's Gulch Creek Basin	Lower Creek 38.9-Poor Upper Creek 1.6 - Very Poor	2016 2015	No listing	No listing	No listing	No listing	None	Not applicable	High	Moderate	Low	Moderate	No	Resident Cutthroat	Not Applicable	Coho	None	Lund's Gulch Park	0.21	None
Meadowdale Pond Basin	No Data	Not Applicable	No listing	No listing	No listing	No listing	None	Not applicable	Low	Moderate High	Moderate	Moderate High	No	None	None	None	None	Meadowdale Playfields	0	None
Perrinville Creek Basin	18.8 - Very Poor	2013	No listing	No listing	No listing	No listing	None	Not applicable	Low	Moderate High	Moderate	Moderate High	No	Resident Cutthroat	Coho	None	None	None	0	None
Puget Sound Basin	No data	Not Applicable	No listing	No listing	No listing	No listing	None	Not applicable	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	High	No	None	None	None	None	None	0	None
Scriber Basin Aggregate: includes Poplar, Golde, and Scriber Creek Basins	See below	See below	See below	See below	No listing	Scriber Lake	Swamp Creek - Fecal Coliform	Not applicable	Moderate	High	High	Moderate	See below	See below	See below	See below	See below	See below	211.75	None
Poplar Creek Basin	No data	Not Applicable	No listing	No listing	No listing	No listing	None	Not applicable	Moderate	High	High	Moderate	No	Resident Cutthroat	Fall Chinook; Coho; Sockeye; Winter Steelhead	None	None	None	13.01	None
Golde Creek Basin	2.7- Very Poor	2003	Golde Creek	Golde Creek	No listing	No listing	None	Not applicable	Moderate	High	High	Moderate	No	None	Fall Chinook; Coho; Winter Steelhead; Sockeye	None	None	None	67.15	None
Scriber Creek Basin	30.8-Poor	2013	No listing	No listing	No listing	No listing	None	[1]Scriber Lake - Poor	Moderate	High	High	Moderate	No	Coho Fall Chinook Resident Cutthroat	Sockeye Winter Steelhead	None	Coho	Scriber Lake Park	131.59	None
Swamp Creek Basin Aggregate: includes Swamp and Tunnel Creek Basins	51.9-Fair	2015	Swamp Creek	Swamp Creek	No listing	No listing	Swamp Creek - Fecal Coliform	[1]Lake Stickney - Good	Low	Moderate High	Moderate High	Low	See below	See below	See below	See below	See below	See below	664.46	None
Swamp Creek Basin	No data	Not Applicable	No listing	No listing	No listing	No listing	See above	Not applicable	Low	Moderate High	Moderate High	Low	Yes	Coho; Fall Chinook; Sockeye; Resident Cutthroat; Winter Steelhead; Kokanee	Not Applicable	Coho	Coho Fall Chinook Sockeye	Stickney Lake Park Lake Stickney Boat Ramp	429.15	None
Tunnel Creek Basin	No data	Not Applicable	No listing	No listing	No listing	No listing	See above	Not applicable	Low	Moderate High	Moderate High	Low	No	None	Fall Chinook	None	None	None	235.31	None
Data source	Puget Sound Benthos Database https://pugetsoundstreambenthos.org/ Excellent (80-100), Good (60-80), Fair (40-60), Poor (20-40), Very Poor (<20)		Washington State Department of Ecology Water Quality Assessment 303(d) List 2014. https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/ApprovedWQA/ApprovedPages/ApprovedSearch.aspx				Washington State Department of Ecology Water Clean Up Projects Directory https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/ezshare/wq/WaterQualityImprovement/TMDL/projectdirectory.htm#S	[1]2019-2020 Snohomish County Lakes Program, [2]2016 Snohomish County Lakes Report, [3]2018 Montlake Terrace Lake Report	Puget Sound Watershed Characterization https://ecology.wa.gov/Water-Shorelines/Puget-Sound/Watershed-characterization-project				Statewide Integrated Fish Distribution (Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife) https://geo.nwifc.org/swifd/					Washington State Department of Health Source Water Assessment Program Mapping Tool https://doh.wa.gov/community-and-environment/drinking-water/source-water/gis-mapping-tool	*SalmonScape https://apps.wdfw.wa.gov/salmonscape/map.html*
	Shading denotes presence of water quality or modeling data																			

Table 3. Assess Stormwater Management Influence.

	Existing Landscape Condition											Future Development	
Category	**Basin Total Impervious Area**	**Roads**					**Higher Pollutant Generating Lands**	**Road Crossings Fish Passage Barriers**		**Riparian Buffer Condition**	**Stormwater Infrastructure**	**Redevelopment**	**Population Growth**
Metric	**Percent Basin Impervious**	**Road Length (feet)**	**Road Density (linear feet per acre)**	**% Total Road Length within City**	**% Basin within City**	**Highways Crossing through Basin**	**Percent of Basin Commercial or Industrial Land Use**	**Number of Mapped Fish Barriers in Basin**	**Percent Stream Accessible from Mouth of First Barrier**	**Percent Canopy Cover in Riparian Buffer**	**Linear Feet of City MS4 Pipe**	**Percent of Basin Redevelopable**	**Areas with Higher Projected Population Growth Greater than 1.25%**
Metric Scale	Basin wide	Basin wide	Basin wide	City	City	Basin wide	City	Basin wide	Basin wide	Basin wide	City	Basin wide	Basin wide
Hall Creek-Ballinger Basin	50%	725,303.2	137	14	15.5%	I-5, SR-524, SR-104, SR-522, SR-99	33.31%	28	McAleer Creek - 47.91%	36.8%	139,115	12.77%	17.22%
Lund's Gulch Creek Basin	38%	155,059.2	98	22	15.4%	SR-99	8.44%	6	Lund's Gulch Creek - barrier at mouth	79.4%	34,697	10.31%	61.86%
Meadowdale Pond Basin	48%	35,381.4	125	92	91.8%	N/A	0.54%	0	Not applicable	42.0%	39,683	4.00%	10.30%
Perrinville Creek Basin	43%	114,139.7	134	62	55.8%	SR-524	6.92%	2	Perrinville Creek - barrier at mouth	26.3%	73,653	5.54%	0.00%
Puget Sound Basin	28%	58,786.7	106	8	13.2%	N/A	0.00%	3	Stilthouse Creek - no barrier Terrace Creek - no barrier Outfall Creek - barrier at mouth	75.1%	4,256	1.82%	1.00%
Scriber Basin Aggregate: includes Poplar, Golde, and Scriber Creek Basins	57%	471,079.6	117	70	67.6%	I-5, SR-524, SR-99	36.15%	34	See below three basins within Scriber Creek Basin Aggregate	46.4%	467,693	10.86%	49.33%
Poplar Creek Basin	63%	37,815.3	162	59	54.4%	I-5, SR-524	67.95%	7	Poplar Creek - 15.96%	30.4%	27,030	6.35%	79.98%
Golde Creek Basin	61%	102,366.2	117	46	44.9%	I-5, SR-524	79.54%	7	Golde Creek - 49.21%	46.8%	81,341	10.55%	79.95%
Scriber Creek Basin	55%	330,898.1	114	79	75.4%	I-5, SR-524, SR-99	26.55%	20	Scriber Creek - 37.17%	47.5%	359,322	11.31%	37.66%
Swamp Creek Basin Aggregate: includes Swamp and Tunnel Creek Basins	42%	1,082,297.2	109	6	4.5%	I-5, SR-524, SR-522, I-405, SR-99, SR-525	31.33%	98	See below two basins within Swamp Creek Basin Aggregate	57.7%	79,770	11.44%	72.69%
Swamp Creek Basin	42%	1,039,142.7	108	3	1.6%	I-5, SR-524, SR-522, I-405, SR-99, SR-525	16.40%	81	Swamp Creek - no barrier (possible barriers, but none confirmed)* Little Swamp Creek - 44.76%; Blueberry Creek - 54.27%; Crystal Creek - 47.89%; Box Springs Creek - 21.46%	58.5%	20,583	12.06%	72.99%
Tunnel Creek Basin	57%	43,154.6	140	85	94.0%	SR-525	39.30%	17	Maple 525 Creek - barrier at mouth	33.7%	59,187	5.02%	63.60%
Data Sources	MRLC 2019 Impervious	Snohomish County Roads, King County Roads	Snohomish County Roads, King County Roads	Snohomish County Roads	From Table 1	Washington State Department of Transportation Highway Mapping	City of Lynwood Zoning data	Washington State Fish Barriers Inventory https://geodataservices.wdfw.wa.gov/hp/fishpassage/index.html	This is the percent of the stream length available from the mouth to the first barrier. The score is modified, as described below based on whether the first barrier is Full, Partial, or there are no barriers at all on the stream (SS1). Barriers used in calculation include the following WDFW Types: Total Fish Passage Block, Partial Fish Passage Block, Natural Barrier, BarrierUnknownPercPass	Lynnwood GIS streams, wetlands, waterbodies; Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife Canopy Layer for Snohomish County. Calculation method: - Intersect Canopy, Riparian Buffer and Basins; - Dissolve by Basin OR aggregate via pivot table; - Per basin, divide area of canopy by riparian buffer area to get canopy percentage in riparian	City of Lynnwood GIS Stormwater Mapping	Snohomish County 2021 Buildable Lands Report https://snohomishcountywa.gov/1352/Buildable-Lands	ESRI 2021-2026 USA Population Growth (Block Group Scale)

Table 4. Analysis of Overburdened Communities.

	Sensitive Populations	Environmental Exposures	Environmental Effects	Socioeconomic Factors	Population Burden Score	Population Characteristics Score	Combined Environmental Health Disparities Rank
Metric Scale	**City**	**City**	**City**	**City**	**City**	**City**	**City**
Hall Creek-Ballinger Basin	3.9	6.1	3.4	8.5	11.1	6.2	5.7
Lund's Gulch Creek Basin	3.2	2.8	1.1	4.3	2.5	3.8	3.4
Meadowdale Pond Basin	2.7	2.5	1.2	4.8	2.9	3.7	3.4
Perrinville Creek Basin	1.7	4.0	3.2	6.2	8.5	3.9	3.5
Puget Sound Basin	3.1	1.3	2.9	2.6	2.5	2.8	1.4
Scriber Basin Aggregate: includes Poplar, Golde, and Scriber Creek Basins	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Poplar Creek Basin	1.4	1.4	0.5	2.0	1.5	1.7	1.6
Golde Creek Basin	5.1	6.3	1.1	7.4	3.8	6.2	5.7
Scriber Creek Basin	5.7	5.7	2.5	7.1	8.3	6.4	6.2
Swamp Creek Basin Aggregate: includes Swamp and Tunnel Creek Basins	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Swamp Creek Basin	8.0	7.0	1.0	7.0	3.8	7.5	7.0
Tunnel Creek Basin	7.4	6.4	1.3	7.0	4.3	7.2	6.7
Metric Description	This category includes indicators related to intrinsic and extrinsic vulnerabilities in communities that can modify the environmental risk factors. Indicators in this theme relate to biological susceptibility. People with pre-existing cardiovascular disease or low-birth-weight infants may be more vulnerable to environmental risk factors.	Environmental exposure refers to how a person comes into contact with an environmental hazard. Examples of exposure include breathing air, eating food, drinking water or living near to where environmental hazards are released or are concentrated.	Environmental effect refers to adverse environmental quality generally, even when population contact with an environmental hazard is unknown or uncertain.	This category includes indicators related to intrinsic and extrinsic vulnerabilities in communities that can modify the environmental risk factors.	Composite score of Sensitive Populations and Socioeconomic Factors.	Composite score of Environmental Exposure and Environmental Effects with a 0.5 multiplier for Environmental Effects.	Composite score of evaluating threat to and vulnerability of populations.
Data Sources	WA Environmental Health Disparities Map	WA Environmental Health Disparities Map	WA Environmental Health Disparities Map	WA Environmental Health Disparities Map	WA Environmental Health Disparities Map	WA Environmental Health Disparities Map	WA Environmental Health Disparities Map
Purpose	Indicators in this theme relate to biological susceptibility. People with pre-existing cardiovascular disease or low-birth-weight infants may be more vulnerable to environmental risk factors.	Indicators in the environmental exposures theme use data from measured environmental concentrations and releases of contaminants from pollution sources as a way to quantify pollution burden from exposure to pollutants.	Indicators in the environmental effects theme illustrate the potential risk of the environmental hazard on communities nearby. However, as proximity to a potential exposure does not necessarily reflect actual exposure.	Indicators in this theme are often found to be associated with environmental justice conditions, such as poverty or unemployment, which modify the effects of environmental exposures on health.			Composite score evaluating threat to and vulnerability of populations
Note	Higher numbers indicate greater vulnerability of populations within the watershed.	Higher numbers indicate higher threat from environmental exposures.	Higher numbers indicate higher threat from environmental exposures.	Higher numbers indicate greater vulnerability of populations within the watershed.	Higher numbers indicate greater vulnerability of populations within the watershed.	Higher numbers indicate greater vulnerability of populations within the watershed.	Higher numbers indicate higher threat from environmental exposures.

Table 5. Stormwater Management Influence and SMAP Prioritization Candidate Basins.

	Percent Basin	Percent in City	Total Basin	Acres in City	Stormwater Management Influence	Candidate for SMAP Prioritization?	Rationale
Hall Creek-Ballinger Basin	50%	16%	5,283	845	High	Yes	>49% basin impervious surface, and 16% City control
Lund's Gulch Creek Basin	38%	16%	1,576	252	Moderate	Yes	Between 20 and 49% basin impervious surface; 16% City control
Meadowdale Pond Basin	48%	92%	284	261	Low	No	Does not discharge to stream, lake or marine nearshore
Perrinville Creek Basin	43%	56%	849	475	Moderate	Yes	Between 20 and 49% basin impervious surface; 56% City control
Puget Sound Basin	28%	13%	556	72	Low	No	Less than 100 acre basin area within City
Scriber Basin Aggregate: includes Poplar, Golde, and Scriber Creek Basins	57%	67%	4,021	2,694	High	Yes	>49% basin impervious surface, and 67% City control
Poplar Creek Basin	63%	54%	234	126	High	Yes	>49% basin impervious surface, and 54% City control
Golde Creek Basin	61%	45%	875	394	High	Yes	>49% basin impervious surface, and 45% City control
Scriber Creek Basin	55%	75%	2,912	2,184	High	Yes	>49% basin impervious surface, and 75% City control
Swamp Creek Basin Aggregate: includes Swamp and Tunnel Creek Basins	42%	4%	9,975	399	Low	No	<5% City basin control
Swamp Creek Basin	42%	2%	9,667	193	Low	No	<5% City basin control
Tunnel Creek Basin	57%	94%	308	290	High	Yes	>49% basin impervious surface, and 94% City control[1]
					Low: Does not discharge to stream, lake or marine nearshore; or <5% Jurisdiction control; or less than 100 acres basin area in City control **Moderate**: Impervious area is >20% and <49% **High:** impervious area is > 50%	All basins with moderate or high Stormwater Management Influence ratings will be retained for the prioritization phase	[1]Tunnel Creek Basin is within the Swamp Creek Aggregate Basin, it will be moved forward to prioritization due to potential influence downstream and high jurisdiction control.

Table 6. Stormwater Impacts, Management Goals and Existing Plans or Projects.

	Major Stormwater Impacts	Management Goal: Restoration, Conservation, Protection, Development	City Plans or Projects
Hall Creek-Ballinger Basin	Pollutants and Erosive Flows	Restoration	Street Edge Runoff Treatment Retrofits in the Hall Lake Basin Hall Creek Enhancement Study-2024
Lund's Gulch Creek Basin	Pollutants and Erosive Flows	Restoration	No projects identified
Meadowdale Pond Basin	Pollutants and Erosive Flows	Development	No projects identified
Perrinville Creek Basin	Pollutants and Erosive Flows	Restoration	2015 Perrinville Creek Stormwater Flow Reduction Retrofit Study Blue Ridge Pond Storage Improvement Pipe Detention 74th Ave W. Storage Improvement Pipe Detention 196th St. SW Storage Improvement Copper Ridge Pond Storage Improvement
Puget Sound Basin	Pollutants and Erosive Flows	Development	No projects identified
Scriber Basin Aggregate: includes Poplar, Golde, and	Pollutants and Erosive Flows	Restoration	Projects identified by basin, see below
Poplar Creek Basin	Pollutants and Erosive Flows	Development	No projects identified
Golde Creek Basin	Pollutants and Erosive Flows	Development	Golde Creek Stormwater Pond Retrofit
Scriber Creek Basin	Pollutants and Erosive Flows	Restoration	2016 Scriber Creek Corridor Management Plan Small Bern Installment, Upper Creek North of 188th St. SW Off-channel Storage 188th Street SW Flood Wall 189th St. SW Culvert Replacement 190th St. SW Culvert Replacement 191st St. SW Culvert Replacement Parkview Plaza Culvert Replacement Scriber Creek Culvert Replacement at Casa Del Rey Raising Old 196th Street SW Scriber Lake Inlet Improvements Scriber Lake Management Plan 180th St. SW Bioretention Swale

Table 6. Stormwater Impacts, Management Goals and Existing Plans or Projects.

	Major Stormwater Impacts	Management Goal: Restoration, Conservation, Protection, Development	City Plans or Projects
Swamp Creek Basin Aggregate: includes Swamp and Tunnel Creek Basins	Pollutants and Erosive Flows	Restoration	Projects identified by basin, see below
Swamp Creek Basin	Pollutants and Erosive Flows	Restoration	2018 Maple Road & Ash Way Intersection and Drainage Improvements project
Tunnel Creek Basin	Pollutants and Erosive Flows	Development	No projects identified
		Classification based upon guidance "Building Cities in the Rain", Chapter 4.https://www.ezview.wa.gov/site/alias__1780/34828/default.aspx	Resources: Tetra Tech. 2015. Perrinville Creek Stormwater Flow Reduction Retrofit Study. Prepared for City of Edmonds. Tetra Tech, Seattle, Washington. 2015. *Note: List of projects identified in the City of Lynnwood.* *Herrera. 2020. City of Lynnwood Surface Water Management 2020 Comprehensive Plan. Prepared for City of Lynnwood. Herrera, Inc. Seattle, Washington. 2020.*
		Restoration=High level of importance/high level degradation	
		Protection=High level of importance/low level of degradation	
		Conservation=Low level of importance/low level of degradation	
		Development=Low level of importance/high level of degradation	