# City of Lynnwood <br> Transportation Benefit District Board 

## Item 30

Special Meeting
March 25, 2013 6:00 P.M.

TITLE: Approval of Minutes - Regular Meeting October 8, 2012
DEPARTMENT: Public Works
DEPARTMENT CONTACT: Jeff Elekes and David Mach
BACKGROUND: At the May 24, 2010 Business Meeting, the Lynnwood City Council approved Ordinance \#2837, which amended the Lynnwood Municipal Code enacting a new chapter, Transportation Benefit District (TBD), effectively establishing the district. The governing board of the TBD is the Lynnwood City Council acting in an ex officio and independent capacity per RCW 36.73.020(3).

The October 8, 2012 TBD meeting was the most recent meeting of the TBD Board.
ACTION: Approve the meeting minutes from the October 8, 2012 Regular Meeting.
ATTACHMENTS: October 8, 2012, Regular Meeting Minutes

# CITY OF LYNNWOOD <br> TRANSPORTATION BENEFIT DISTRICT BOARD MEETING MINUTES October 8, 2012 

10. CALL TO ORDER - The meeting of the City of Lynnwood Transportation Benefit District (TBD) Board, held in the Council Chambers of Lynnwood City Hall, was called to order by Board President Simmonds at 6:00 p.m. on October 8, 2012.
11. 

## ROLL CALL

Board President Loren Simmonds
Board Member Mark Smith
Board Member Kerri Lonergan-Dreke
Board Member Benjamin Goodwin
Board Member Sid Roberts
Board Member Van AuBuchon

## OTHERS ATTENDING

Public Works fir rector Bill Franz
Deputy PW, Director Elekes Project Manager David Mach
Finance Director Hines Acting Council Asst. Carolyn Wis
30. APPROVAL OF MINUTES - Regular Meeting March 12,2012

Motion made by Board Member Smith||seoonded by Board'Member,AuBuchon, to approve the minutes of the Regular Meeting of March $||P| 2012$ as presented. Motion passed unanimously.
40. ORDINANCE \#5 OFITHBH|OITY OF LYNN WOOD TRANSPORTATION BENEFIT DISTRICT ADOPTING THE 2013 BUDGET
A. Public Hearing
 and the process for the hearing.

Project Manager Mach referred to page 40A-5 which summarized the 2013 proposed budget." " There is a beginning fund balance of about $\$ 180,000$. Those funds were remaining from the pribr two years since the TBD fees went into effect on July 1, 2011. The revenue of $\$ 490,000$ is based on the amount of revenue that the TBD received over the last 12 months. The expenditures category includes insurance, administrative costs, state audit fee and projects for 2013. The projects include the overlay program, Street Fund 111 (Operations and Maintenance), and the $48^{\text {th }}$ Ave W Sidewalk (carry forward from the 2012 budget). After all that there is an ending fund balance of $\$ 65,000$.

Board President Simmonds asked Director Hines for his comments on the proposed budget. Director Hines stated that finance staff worked extensively with Project
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Manager Mach and Public Works and is in full support of the numbers that were presented.

Public Comments:
Board President Simmonds solicited written materials. There were none. He then solicited public testimony. There was none.

Board Comments and Questions:
Board Member AuBuchon asked about the funding sourdes for the $48^{\text {th }}$ Ave W sidewalks. Mr. Mach explained that there are multiplelfunding sources. The TBD is one of the sources, but there are also Safe Routes to Schools funds and CDBG grant funds. Board Member AuBuchon asked what is holding up the project. Mr. Mach explained that staff met with Council earlier this year to talk about various drainage alternatives. One of those was potentially piping in a certain stream tributary. The delay was related to getting direction from Gouncil and also getting the permitting required to do that. Board Member AuBuchon asked what is needed frọm the Board right now. Mr. Mach replied that approval of the budget is what is needed at this point.


Board Member Lonergan-Dreke asked how the first two projects were prioritized. DeputyDirector Elekes reviewed this. Bo public outreach to determine the public's ${ }^{\text {perspectivejon prioritization. Deputy }}$ Director Elekeplinted that they havelfeceived a few comments regarding the paving down by themall, but they have not reited anything specific. Board Member Lonergan-Dreke asked when the last pulplic, outreach effort was done. Deputy Director Elekes replied that the Transportation an fraffic Task Force, which was a representation of citizens and businessiowners, met from 2008 to 2010.

The public hearing was closed at 6:15 p.m.
B. "Oonsideration, discussion, and possible action on said Ordinance Motioj|made by Board Member Smith, seconded by Board Member Lonergan-Dreke, to apprope, Ordindence \#5 of the Transportation Benefit District, "AN ORDINANCE OF THE qu|Y|OF LYNNWOOD, WASHINGTON, TRANSPORTATION BENEFIT DISTRICT ADOPTING A ONE-YEAR BUDGET FOR THE CITY OF LYNNWOOD, WASHINGTON, TRANSPORTATION BENEFIT DISTRICT FOR THE YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2013; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE, SEVERABILITY, AND SUMMARY PUBLICATION."

Board Member Smith thanked staff for bringing this forward.
Upon a roll call vote, the motion passed (6-0).
50. PRESENTATION, DISCUSSION AND APPROVAL: 2011 TBD ANNUAL REPORT
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Project Manager Mach made the presentation on the 2011 Annual Report as contained in the packet on pages 50-2 through 50-5. Staff believes that the document meets the requirements of the RCW and the charter documents.

Motion made by Board Member Smith, seconded by Board Member Roberts, to adopt the City of Lynnwood's Transportation Benefit District 2011 Annual Report as written. Motion passed unanimously.

## 60. PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION: COMMUNITY EDUCAfflion AND OUTREACH PLAN

Deputy Director Elekes made the presentation on the Community Education and Outreach Plan. He summarized that staff has met with the Boardicoluncil with selveral detailed, indepth presentations regarding transportation fundinf! 'He 'discussed the possibility of a voter approved package, the need to educate the community about these needs (outhined on page 60-2 in the packet), and outreach communication strategies? Deputy Director Elekes then asked the Board the three policy questions stated on page $60-12$,

1. Does the Board support staff's recommendation to conduct a Community Education and outreach Plan as outlined herein?
2. Does the Board wish to implement a Community Edycation Outreach Plan that allows for a public vote on August 6,2013 , November 5,2013 , or a time certain in 2014?
3. Should the 2013 TBD Budget be amended to indiude expenses related to conducting a community survey and payment of a filing fee to place a measure on the ballot? Board Memberunergan-Dreke responded that she does support this. She thinks that having something on the ballot|next year might be premature because it is necessary to get the public's feedhack first bethote a ballothmeasure is scheduled. If they don't do that, this whole thing oould be seemps contthod. She spoke in support of including the expenses related to the survey in the bugdet and asked for an estimate of the costs. Deputy Director Elekes estimated $\$ 40,000$ to $\$ 50,000$ for the survey and results processing. Staff clarified that policy question number 2 isn't aşking for the Board to commit to a date at this time, but merely to allow staff to begin the education process. Board Member Lonergan-Dreke spoke in support of that.

Board Member Goodwin concurred with Board Member Lonergan-Dreke. He thinks having public outreach is ${ }^{6}$ g'great idea. Regarding question number 2, he suggested having the vote soon after the public outreach to keep the issues fresh in the public mind.

Board Member Roberts spoke in support of the public outreach. He commented on the difficulty people have with understanding the funding of roads. He recommended moving slower, rather than faster, to make sure they really educate the citizens on how this is funded. He noted that the economy is still improving. As it continues to improve it will be more likely to have something approved by the public. He stressed the importance of taking enough time to educate the public.
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Board Member Smith spoke in support of the outreach and commended staff for bringing this forward. He recalled that the outreach that was done for the annexation was done very well and wondered if this outreach would be done like that. Deputy Director Elekes replied that that was what they had in mind. Board Member Smith spoke in support of doing the compressed timeframe, but thought that the date should be moved back to avoid voter fatigue from the elections this year and also to give the economy more time to recover. He recommended starting the outreach sometime next year with the idea of getting this measure on the ballot sometime in early to mid-2014. Deputy Director Elekes noted that the TBD has an annual meeting coming up in March. Staff could bring back a schedule that addresses his concerns.

Board Member Lonergan-Dreke commented that turnout in Ahgst for primaries is typically extremely low. She recommended February as opposed top November for the ballot issue.

Board Member Goodwin summarized that he is ing fllloport of the compressed timeline, but only moving forward once the community outredth portion has been completed. He was not in support of having an end date in mind at this point.

Board President Simmonds asked if the survey would odcur prior to the outreach. Deputy Director Elekes explained that on the compressed schedulelit was shown as overlapping simply due to time constraints, but noted that it could be dond at any time. There was discussion about having the survey first and then using that as pattof the discussion with the community.

Board President Simpubonds then encouraged staff to reactivate the Transportation and Traffic Task Force as that group spent many hours thinking about all of this. He recommended having a spokesperson from that group come to address the Board. Finally, he agreed that primary turnouts are considerably lyghter than the general election in November, but he thought that the turndut in Noplember would be greater. If it doesn't pass, but it seems close they might have to waitha whole year for the next general election.
Deplity Director Elekes trecommended that the budget be amended if the Board wants to do the citizen survey as an up-front piece. If the Board doesn't want to take action on that now, he recommended that they move the March regular meeting to February so there is more time to work the issues and the outreach for 2013.

Board Member Sthlthh did not think the survey should be done up-front. He preferred doing the community oufteach first in order to educate people about what might be coming down the road on a survey. He thinks they would be much more balanced feedback in the survey if they have done the community outreach programs ahead of time.

There was consensus of the Board to start the outreach after the first of the year. Deputy Director Elekes stated that staff would bring back any budgetary amendments in the February or March meeting dealing with survey costs.

## 70. ADJOURNMENT

Board President Simmonds stated that the next meeting is currently scheduled for March 11, 2013 at 6 p.m. in the Council Chambers.

The meeting was adjourned at 6:55 p.m.

Lorenzo Hines, Jr.
Finance Director, acting as Board Treasurer


# City of Lynnwood <br> Transportation Benefit District Board 

Item 40
Special Meeting
March 25, 2013
6:00 P.M.

TITLE: Election of Board Officers
DEPARTMENT: Public Works
DEPARTMENT CONTACT: Jeff Elekes and David Mach
BACKGROUND: Section 5.03 of the Charter states that "The Board shall include two or more officers...The initial officers of the Board shall be the President and Vice President. Additional officers may be provided for as approved by the Board....."

The roles and responsibilities of the TBD President are outlined in Section 5.04 of the Charter: "The President shall serve as the ceremonial head of the District and shall preside over all Board meetings. The President shall, subject to the control of the Board, exercise general supervision, direction, and control of the business and affairs of the District. On matters decided by the District, unless otherwise required under Interlocal Agreement or by this Charter, the signature of the President alone is sufficient to bind the District."

The roles and responsibilities of the TBD Vice President are outlined in Section 5.05 of the Charter: "The Vice President shall serve in the absence of the President as the ceremonial head of the District and shall preside over Board meetings in the President's absence and shall otherwise execute the President's powers and duties."

Other TBD Board Officers include Treasurer, which shall be the City's Finance Director (Section 5.06 of the Charter) and Attorney, which shall be the City Attorney (Section 5.07 of the Charter).

ACTION: Nominate and elect TBD Board President and Vice President.
ATTACHMENTS: None

# City of Lynnwood <br> Transportation Benefit District Board 

## Item 50

Special Meeting
March 25, 2013
6:00 P.M.

TITLE: Presentation and Discussion: Transportation Planning, Funding and Community Education/Outreach

## DEPARTMENT: Public Works

DEPARTMENT CONTACT: William Franz
BACKGROUND: The Citizens of Lynnwood have consistently stated the importance of the city's transportation system in past City-wide surveys. These surveys were related to all facets of city services and were not limited to transportation alone. One of the recommendations identified by the Transportation and Traffic Task Force in their 2010 Final Report was to conduct a City-wide survey specific to transportation.

The results of surveying citizens and businesses would be very useful to the TBD Board in determining which transportation improvements to complete, how to prioritize them, and how to fund them. Staff recommends that a multi-tiered public outreach process be implemented in order to survey the stakeholders of Lynnwood's transportation system.

ACTION: Discussion and Board direction on the following policy questions:

1) Does the Board support staff's recommendation to conduct a Community Education and Outreach Plan as outlined herein?
2) Does the Board wish to implement a Community Education and Outreach Plan that allows for a public vote for a time certain in 2014?
3) Depending on the dates selected in \#2 above, should the 2013 TBD Budget be amended to include expenses related to conducting a community survey and payment of a filing fee to place a measure on the ballot?

ATTACHMENTS: Miscellaneous transportation planning, funding and community education/outreach documents


## Traffic Impact Analysis <br> TBD March 25, 2013

Much of Lynnwood's transportation planning policies are based on Washington's Growth Management Act (GMA) of 1990. GMA requires each agency (city, county, or state) to determine whether it can provide "adequate" transportation facilities, timed to serve the growth that it is required to accommodate. The definition of what is "adequate" is a local agency decision.

Most agencies use the A through F rating system for defining adequate level of service (LOS), with $A$ being best and $F$ being worst.

Level of Service Definitions for a Signalized Intersection

| LOS | Signalized Intersection <br> Control Delay/Vehicle | Intersection Delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $<10$ seconds | Never Stop |
| B | 10 to 20 seconds | Only Hesitate |
| C | 20 to 35 seconds | Short Wait |
| D | 35 to 55 seconds | $1 / 4$ Signal Cycle Wait |
| E | 55 to 80 seconds | $1 / 2$ Signal Cycle Wait |
| F | $>80$ seconds | 1 Signal Cycle Wait |

Lynnwood has identified "adequate" with the following LOS thresholds:

1) City Center - LOS E
2) Non City Center Arterials - LOS D
3) Local streets - LOS C

The City monitors existing and future LOS to verify compliance with these LOS thresholds. Changes in the following variables can have an effect on LOS:

- Population
- Employment
- Zoning
- Road Network

The challenge is to provide "adequate" transportation facilities to accommodate these changes and maintain the City's LOS thresholds.

## Traffic Mitigation

Proposed developments in Lynnwood are reviewed by staff to determine if they will have an impact on traffic. This is primarily determined by the amount of P.M. peak hour trips the development will generate. P.M. peak hour trips are defined as:

> The total vehicular trips entering and leaving a place of new development activity on the adjacent public streets during the P.M. peak hour. The P.M. peak hour is the highest volume of traffic for a continuous hour between 4:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m. on weekdays.

For Lynnwood, P.M. peak hour is typically used (as opposed to A.M.) because Lynnwood is primarily a retail base. Retail stores typically don't open until later in the morning as opposed to other commercial developments such as office and industrial which open around 8:00 A.M. As a result, Lynnwood's A.M. peak is typically less intense than the P.M. peak.

Trip generation is calculated based on the Institute of Transportation Engineer's Trip Generation Manual. The Manual includes many different land uses and their associated trip generation rates based on a national database of existing traffic counts.

In Lynnwood, if a proposed development generates more P.M. peak hour trips than in the before condition, the developer is required to pay transporation impact fees for the difference in trips. Lynnwood's 2013 rates are $\$ 3,064 /$ trip for Zone A (city center and mall) and $\$ 4,766 /$ trip for Zone B (remainder of city).

These rates are based on a rate study which was developed for the city by a traffic consultant in 2010. The rates are based on the cost of various street improvements which will be needed to maintain the city's LOS standards. The study identified $\$ 167,000,000$ of street improvements will be needed to accommodate 14,705 future P.M. peak hour trips (over the next $+/-20$ years).

From time to time, a larger development is required to prepare an environmental impact study. As part of the study, a traffic analysis is conducted to determine how level of service is impacted at signalized intersections surrounding the development. Depending on the severity of the impacts, offsite mitigation may be required such as adding new turn lanes or a new traffic signal.

## LYNNWOOD CITY CENTER ACCESS STUDY



| Transportation Projects - 20 Year List |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | Project Title | Beginning Cross Street | Ending Cross Street | Project Description |  | BaseYrCost |
| TrIF Capacity Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 36th Ave W Improvements | Maple Road | 164th St SW | Turn lanes, bike lanes, sidewalk | \$ | 12,596,000 |
| 2 | Poplar Extension Bridge | 196th St SW | AMB | $5 / 6$ lane bridge over l-5 (new conneo | \$ | 38,408,000 |
| 3 | 33rd Ave W Extension | 184th St SW | AMP | New road through old high school | \$ | 6,415,000 |
| 4 | 33rd Ave W Extension | 33rd Ave W | 184th St SW | New road through mall or H-Mart | \$ | 9,257,000 |
| 5 | 33rd Ave W Extension | Maple Road |  | Realign Maple to new 33rd Extensio, | \$ | 2,559,000 |
| 6 | 52nd Ave W Improvements | 176th St SW | 168th St SW | Add turn lanes, bike lanes, sidewalk | \$ | 2,447,000 |
| 7 | Beech Road Extension | AMP | Ash Way Underpas | Continuous road behind Kohls and 7 | \$ | 3,158,000 |
| 8 | 44th Ave W Improvements | 1-5 | 194th St SW | Add lanes | \$ | 13,281,000 |
| 9 | 42nd Ave W Improvements | 200th St SW | 194th St SW | New road | \$ | 17,648,924 |
| 10 | 204th St SW Extension | 68th Ave W | SR 99 | New road | \$ | 2,031,000 |
| 11 | Maple Road Extension | 32nd Ave W | AMP | INew road | \$ | 1,662,000 |
| 12 | 196th St SW Improvements | 48th Ave W | 36th Ave W | Add lanes | \$ | 15,911,815 |
| 13 | 200th St SW Improvements | 48th Ave W | 40th Ave W | Add lanes | \$ | 10,860,072 |
| 14 | 194th St SW Improvements | 40th Ave W | 33rd Ave W | New road | \$ | 26,936,805 |
| 15 | Intersection Improvements | 28th Ave W | AMB | NB Lt turn pocket and traffic signal | \$ | 1,174,000 |
| 16 | Intersection Improvements | Sears | AMP | SB Rt turn pocket and reconstruct si | \$ | 1,109,000 |
| 17 | ITS - Phase 3 | City-Wide | City-Wide | Includes Dynamic Message Signs ( 0 | \$ | 800,000 |
| 18 | Lynnwood Link Trolley Feas. Study | ECC, Transit Center, CC, Alderwood |  | Feasibility study for trolley (ECC to M | \$ | 100,000 |
| 19 | Tran Element/Tran Bus Plan | \|r|ral |  |  | \$ | 510,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 166,864,616 |
| Non-Motorized Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Sidewalk and Walkway-ADA Ramps | City-Wide | City-Wide | Bring deficient locations into complia | \$ | 700,000 |
| 21 | 60th Ave W | 176th St SW | 188th St SW | Pedestrian project P23 | \$ | 2,460,150 |
| 22 | 180th St SW | 56th Ave W | 44th Ave W | Pedestrian project P74 | \$ | 2,370,690 |
| 23 | 202nd St SW | 68th Ave W | SR 99 | Pedestrian project P100 | \$ | 629,160 |
| 24 | 72nd Ave W/188th PI SW | 192nd PI SW | 68th Ave W | Pedestrian project P4 | \$ | 263,200 |
| 25 | 60th Ave W | 188th St SW | SR 99 | Pedestrian project P22 | \$ | 294,000 |
| 26 | 56th Ave W/191st St SW | 52nd Ave. W | Trail off 56th Ave | Pedestrian project P28 | \$ | 322,500 |
| 27 | Spruce Rd | 172nd St SW | Maple Rd | Pedestrian project P50 | \$ | 1,699,740 |
| 28 | 181st PI SW/Maple Road | 48th Ave W | 36th Ave W | Pedestrian project P77 | \$ | 1,107,380 |
| 29 | 184th St SW | 40th Ave W | AMP | Pedestrian project P79 | \$ | 681,600 |
| 30 | 192nd PI SW / Dale Way | 68th Ave W | 60th Ave W | Pedestrian project P85 | \$ | 483,750 |
| 31 | 192nd PI SW | 52nd Ave. W | 46th Ave W | Pedestrian project P86 | \$ | 133,300 |
| 32 | 196th St SW | SR 99 | 48th Ave W | Pedestrian project P92 | \$ | 805,140 |
| 33 | 74th Ave W/191st St SW/190th St | 196th St SW | 76th Ave W | Pedestrian project P3 | \$ | 498,800 |
| 34 | 64th Ave W | 176th St. SW | 188th St. SW | Pedestrian project P17 | \$ | 817,920 |
| 35 | 62nd Ave W/165th PI SW/64th Ave | Lunds Gulch | 168th St. SW | Pedestrian project P25 | \$ | 215,000 |
| 36 | Scriber Creek Trail | Interurban Trail | Scriber Lake Park | Pedestrian project P38 | \$ | 124,000 |
| 37 | 48th Ave W | 180th St. SW | 192nd PI SW | Pedestrian project P40 | \$ | 728,460 |
| 38 | 40th Ave W | 188th St. SW | 194th St SW | Pedestrian project P48 | \$ | 1,175,760 |
| 39 | 180th St SW | Olympic View | 56th Ave W | Pedestrian project P73 | \$ | 2,262,060 |
| 40 | 185th St SW/186th PI SW | 64th Ave W | SR 99 | Pedestrian project P76 | \$ | 481,600 |
| 41 | 56th Ave W/198th St SW | Scriber Lake Rd | 208th St. SW | Pedestrian project P26 | \$ | 357,000 |
| 42 | 172nd St SW | 44th Ave W | 33rd PI W | Pedestrian project P67 | \$ | 2,217,330 |
| 43 | 193rd PI SW/194th St SW/58th Ave | 196th St SW | 52nd Ave W | Pedestrian project P88 | \$ | 107,500 |
| 44 | 168th St/66th Ave/Meadowdale Rd | West city limit | Olympic View Dr | Pedestrian project P112 | \$ | 1,027,340 |


| Transportation Projects - 20 Year List |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | Project Title | Beginning Cross Street | Ending Cross Street | Project Description | BaseYrCost |  |
| 45 | 60th Ave W | 168th St SW | 176th St. SW | Pedestrian project P24 | \$ | 225,750 |
| 46 | 188th St SW | 68th Ave W | SR 99 | Pedestrian project P81 | \$ | 1,674,180 |
| 47 | 40th Ave W | Maple Rd | 188th St. SW | Pedestrian project P49 | \$ | 1,509,250 |
| 48 | 196th St SW | 33 rd Ave W | E City limit | Pedestrian project P95 | \$ | 595,200 |
| 49 | Spruce Rd | 164th St SW | 172nd St SW | Pedestrian project P51 |  | 298,200 |
| 50 | 58th PI W | 196th St SW | Prop. E-W trail | Pedestrian project P114 | \$ | 156,800 |
| 51 | 68th Ave W | 208th St. SW | 196th St SW | Bicycle project B9 | \$ | 9,869 |
| 52 | 52nd Ave W | SR 99 | 196th St SW | Bicycle project B34 | \$ | 72,675 |
| 53 | 200th St SW | SR 99 | 48th Ave W | Bicycle project B98 | \$ | 447,020 |
| 54 | 208th St SW | SR 99 | 52nd Ave W | Bicycle project B106 | \$ | 288,400 |
| 55 | 212th St SW | SR 99 | 52nd Ave W | Bicycle project B107 | \$ | 68,544 |
| 56 | 52nd Ave W | 204th St. SW | S city limit | Bicycle project B32 | \$ | 19,890 |
| 57 | 48th Ave W | 192nd PI SW | 200th St SW | Bicycle project B39 | \$ | 30,447 |
| 58 | 168th St SW | 52nd Ave. W | 44th Ave W | Bicycle project B63 | \$ | 324,450 |
| 59 | 188th St SW | 44th Ave W | 33rd Ave W | Bicycle project B83 | \$ | 2,566,760 |
| 60 | 194th St SW | 52nd Ave. W | 44th Ave W | Bicycle project B89 | \$ | 39,780 |
| 61 | 200th St SW | Edmonds CC | SR 99 | Bicycle project B97 | \$ | 24,174 |
| 62 | 52nd Ave W | N City limit | 176th St. SW | Bicycle project B36 | \$ | 621,530 |
| 63 | 44th Ave W | Maple Rd | 194th St SW | Bicycle project B44 | \$ | 1,398,740 |
| 64 | 176th St SW | 54th Ave W | 44th Ave W | Bicycle project B70 | \$ | 36,567 |
| 65 | Alderwood Mall Pkwy | Poplar Way | 196th St SW | Bicycle project B96 | \$ | 32,895 |
| 66 | 212th St SW | 52nd Ave. W | 44th Ave W | Bicycle project B108 | \$ | 39,780 |
| 67 | 216th St SW | SR 99 | Interurban Trail | Bicycle project B110 | \$ | 10,251 |
| 68 | 66th Ave W | S City limit | 208th St. SW | Bicycle project B12 | \$ | 31,365 |
| 69 | 60th Ave W/Scriber Lake Rd | 196th St SW | 208th St. SW | Bicycle project B21 | \$ | 1,121,848 |
| 70 | 62nd Ave W/165th PI SW/64th Ave | Lunds Gulch | 168th St. SW | Bicycle project B25 | \$ | 8,500 |
| 71 | 44th Ave W | 204th St. SW | 212th St SW | Bicycle project B43 | \$ | 1,521,310 |
| 72 | 36th Ave W | Maple Rd | 194th St SW | Bicycle project B52 | \$ | 79,560 |
| 73 | 204th St SW | 44th Ave W | E City Limit | Bicycle project B104 | \$ | 230,860 |
| 74 | 64th Ave W | $176{ }^{\text {th }}$ St SW | $200^{\text {th }}$ St SW | Bicycle project B17 | \$ | 396,942 |
| 75 | 33rd Ave W | 184th St SW | 194th St SW | Bicycle project B55 | \$ | 2,242,310 |
| 76 | 180th St SW | 56th Ave W | 44th Ave W | Bicycle project B74 | \$ | 892,500 |
| 77 | 184th St SW | 33rd Ave W | 36th Ave W | Bicycle project B79 | \$ | 663,320 |
| 78 | 188th St SW | 68th Ave W | SR 99 | Bicycle project B81 | \$ | 1,896,230 |
| 79 | 193rd PI SW/194th St SW/58th Ave | 196th St SW | 52nd Ave W | Bicycle project B88 | \$ | 8,500 |
| 80 | 194th St SW | 44th Ave W | 33rd Ave W | Bicycle project B90 | \$ | 915,670 |
| 81 | 68th Ave W/Blue Ridge Dr | 196th St SW | Olympic View Dr | Bicycle project B10 | \$ | 32,436 |
| 82 | 60th Ave W | 188th St SW | SR 99 | Bicycle project B22 | \$ | 509,320 |
| 83 | 60th Ave W | 176th St SW | 188th St SW | Bicycle project B23 | \$ | 925,820 |
| 84 | Scriber Creek Trail | Interurban Trail | Scriber Lake Park | Bicycle project B38 | \$ | 105,400 |
| 85 | Maple Road | 44th Ave W | 36th Ave W | Bicycle project B77 | \$ | 702,100 |
| 86 | 40th Ave W | 188th St. SW | 194th St SW | Bicycle project B48 | \$ | 449,820 |
| 87 | Spruce Rd | 172nd St SW | Maple Rd | Bicycle project B50 | \$ | 633,080 |
| 88 | Alderwood Mall Pkwy | Interurban Trail | 196th St SW | Bicycle project B58 | \$ | 908,460 |
| 89 | 180th St SW | Olympic View | 56th Ave W | Bicycle project B73 | \$ | 659,260 |
| 90 | 168th St/66th Ave/Meadowdale Rd | N Meadowdale Rd | Olympic View Dr | Bicycle project B112 | \$ | 428,400 |
| 91 | 76th Ave. W | 196th St SW | 208th St. SW | Bicycle project B2 | \$ | 60,282 |
| 92 | 60th Ave W | 168th St SW | 176th St. SW | Bicycle project B24 | \$ | 259,420 |
| 93 | 48th Ave W | 180th St. SW | 192nd PI SW | Bicycle project B40 | \$ | 313,740 |


| Transportation Projects - 20 Year List |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | Project Title | Beginning Cross Street | Ending Cross Street | Project Description |  | BaseYrCost |
| 94 | 172nd St SW | 44th Ave W | 36th St SW | Bicycle project B67 | \$ | 616,420 |
| 95 | 76th Ave W | Olympic View | 196th St SW | Bicycle project B1 | \$ | 57,987 |
| 96 | Spruce Rd | 164th St SW | 172nd St SW | Bicycle project B51 | \$ | 135,044 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline 97 & \text { 40th Ave W } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Maple Rd | 188th St. SW | Bicycle project B49 | \$ | 694,960 |
|  |  | Non-Motorized Total |  |  | \$ | 49,955,396 |
| Oth er (Non-Capacity, Safety, or TrIF Ineligible) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 98 | Intersection Improvements | 66th Ave W | 212th St SW | Traffic signal | \$ | 615,000 |
| 99 | Intersection Improvements | 52nd Ave W | 176th St SW | Traffic signal | \$ | 453,000 |
| 100 | Intersection Improvements | AMP | 196th St SW | Add turn pockets and reconstruct sig | \$ | 652,000 |
| 101 | Intersection Improvements | 61st PI W | 212th St SW | Traffic signal | \$ | 580,000 |
| 102 | Intersection Improvements | 50th Ave W | 196th St SW | Traffic signal | \$ | 580,000 |
| 103 | Intersection Improvements | 44th Ave W | 172nd St SW | Traffic signal | \$ | 580,000 |
| 104 | Intersection Improvements | 44th Ave W | 180th St SW | Traffic signal | \$ | 580,000 |
| 105 | Intersection Improvements | AMP | 182nd St SW | Traffic signal | \$ | 580,000 |
| 106 | SR 99 Corridor Safety Program | 164th St SW | 218th St SW | Access management | \$ | 200,000 |
| 107 | Pedestrian Signal | SR 99 | 180th St SW | Pedestrian signal | \$ | 504,000 |
| 108 | Traffic Signal Reconstruction | Scriber Lake Road | 196th St SW | Periodic repair of traffic signals | \$ | 325,000 |
| 109 | Neighborhood Traffic Calming | City-Wide | City-Wide | Misc. traffic calming projects | \$ | 600,000 |
|  |  |  |  | Other Total | \$ | 6,249,000 |
| Operation and Maintenance (total over 20-years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 110 | Overlay | City-Wide | City-Wide | Pavement overlay | \$ | 24,000,000 |
| 111 | Traffic Signal Rebuild | City-Wide | City-Wide | Fully reconstruct signnal | \$ | 12,000,000 |
| 112. | Sidewalk and Walkway - O \& M | City-Wide | City-Wide | Periodic repair of sidewalks | \$ | 1,000,000 |
|  |  |  |  | Operation and Maintenance Total | \$ | 37,000,000 |
| Long Term or Non Lynnwood Funding |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 113 | Intersection Improvements | 48th Ave W | 188th St SW | Traffic signal | \$ | 615,000 |
| 114 | Intersection Improvements | 40th Ave W | 198th St SW | Traffic signal | \$ | 615,000 |
| 115 | Intersection Improvements | AMP | Poplar Way | Traffic signal | \$ | 615,000 |
| 116 | 200th St SW Improvements | 64th Ave W | 48th Ave W | Add lanes | \$ | 7,172,000 |
| 117 | 196th St SW Improvements | Scriber Lake Road | 48th Ave W | Add lanes | \$ | 15,911,815 |
| 118 | 40th Undercrossing of l-5 | 204th St SW/Larch | AMB/40th Ave W | New connection across l-5 | \$ | 47,000,000 |
| 119 | 1-5/44th Ave W Interchange | 1-5 | 44th Ave W | NB ramps and two braids | \$ | 150,000,000 |
| 120 NB I-5 Braided Ramps |  | 196th St SW | 1-405 | One braided ramp | \$ | 50,000,000 |
|  |  |  |  | Long Term/Non-Lynnwood Total | \$ | 271,928,815 |

## Key Transportation

Projects




## COMMUNITY EDUCATION \& OUTREACH PLAN TRANSPORTATION BENEFIT DISTRICT

## WHAT AND WHY - Transportation Related Needs in Our Community

## Areas of Need:

- Pavement Overlay Program
$>$ Pavement Aging Curves
$>$ Indicators of Failing to Failed Pavements
$>$ Treatment Methods (i.e. Chip Seal, Double Chip Seal, Thin Overlay, Overlay, Reconstruction)
$>$ What we have in Lynnwood (Residential, Collectors, Arterials, Principles, State Routes)
$>$ General Cost Info for Standard Treatment Methods
$>$ Current Funding Limitations (Gas Tax, TBD, Utility, Other)
$>$ What happens if we Don't keep them up?
$>$ The 12 Year Cycle of Sustainability
$>$ The Cost of the 12 Year Cycle
$>$ The Cost per Average Resident and How that is leveraged (Sales Tax vs. License Tab Fee)
> Long Range Schedule for 12 Year Cycle of the Overlay Program
- Traffic Signal Rebuild Program
$>$ Background - 55 traffic signals, 20 lighted pedestrian crossings...
$>$ Purposes of the signal system
$>$ Components of a signal system (cabinets, poles, heads, electronics...)
$>$ Central Network Infrastructure/Traffic Management Center
$>$ Life cycle (duration) and costs various of components and overall program
- Multi-Modal, Multi-Choice System (Sidewalks, Trails, Bike Lanes, etc)
$>$ Background - How the skeleton systems were developed, miles complete today and miles yet to be completed, prioritization process
> Various alternatives (concrete sidewalk, planter, asphalt walkway, bike lanes...)
$>$ Cost to complete
$>$ Maintenance responsibilities (city vs. private)
- Transportation Projects
$>36^{\text {th }} / 35^{\text {th }}$ Avenue W - Maple Road to $164^{\text {th }}$ Street SW
$>$ Poplar Way Overpass - $196^{\text {th }}$ Street SW to $33^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue W
$>52^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue W $-176^{\text {th }}$ to $164^{\text {th }}$ Street SW
$>60^{\text {th }}$ Avenue W $-188^{\text {th }}$ to $176^{\text {th }}$ Street SW
$>64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue W $-188^{\text {th }}$ to $176^{\text {th }}$ Street SW
$>180^{\text {th }}$ Street SW $-64^{\text {th }}$ Avenue W to SR 99
$>$ City Center Project $-194^{\text {th }}$ Street SW $-40^{\text {th }}$ Avenue W to $33^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue W
$>$ City Center Project $-42^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue W $-194^{\text {th }}$ Street SW to Alderwood Mall Blvd
$>$ City Center Project $-196^{\text {th }}$ Street SW $-48^{\text {th }}$ Avenue W to $37^{\text {th }}$ Avenue W
- Street Fund Operation and Maintenance


## Transportation Funding:

- Current Funding Explained
- Transportation Benefit District
$>$ Licensing fee
$\Rightarrow$ Sales tax
- Levy Lid Lift
- Other voted measures


## HOW - Outreach Communication Strategies

- Questions to be asked
$>$ What is your greatest area of concern?
$>$ What level should programs be funded? Level of service?
$>$ What types of funding for which type of projects?
- Web Site Information
$>$ Upcoming Meetings, Dates, and Locations
$>$ Presentation Information
$>$ Comments Received from Each Meeting
- Press Releases
$>$ One for each meeting
- Articles in the Newspaper
- Major Event at the Convention Center
- Neighborhood Meetings at Key Locations throughout the City (6 to 8 sites)
- Independent Scientific Validated Community Survey
- Articles in "Inside Lynnwood"
- Interview on Lynnwood TV
- Interview with TV Stations
- Separate - Special Mailer to ALL Lynnwood Residents and Business License Holders (2 Mailers)
- Host a Pancake Breakfast at the Fire Station or Convention Center
- Both/Kioski at the Mall, Major Retail Center


## WHO - Stakeholders in the Community

- Residents
- Business Community
- Retail Customers
- Schools
- Churches
- Transportation and Traffic Task Force

WHEN - See potential schedule on next page

$$
50-13
$$

## Background Material from Transportation and Traffic Task Force (Excerpt)

The Citizens of Lynnwood have consistently stated the importance of the city's transportation system in past City-wide surveys. These surveys related to all facets of city services and were not limited to transportation alone. One of the recommendations identified by the Transportation and Traffic Task Force in their 2010 Final Report was to conduct a City-wide survey specific to transportation.

The results of surveying citizens and businesses would be very useful to Council and the TBD Board in determining which transportation improvements to complete, how to prioritize them, and how to fund them. Staff recommends that a multi-tiered public outreach process be implemented in order to survey the stakeholders of Lynnwood's transportation system.

Staff recommends that the following four primary topics be included as a part of the outreach program:

## 1) Education

It is critical that the stakeholders of Lynnwood's transportation system have a better understanding of the system and the efforts underway and required to maintain and improve it. This is especially true if the citizens are called upon to pass fee or taxing measures in the future to fund critical transportation projects and programs. Once citizens understand the importance of our transportation system and the genuine hard work and thoughtful efforts by staff and elected officials, a much higher level of support is possible.

A brief description of each of the following should be provided:

- The City's level of service policies, plans for future growth, and the capacity projects needed to support this growth
- The City Center and the transportation improvements needed to support it
- The pedestrian and bicycle skeleton systems and how they were developed
- The magnitude of the City's maintenance and operation responsibilities


## 2) Planned Projects

The 20 -year list of projects and how they get prioritized into the 6-year Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) should be discussed. More information should be provided about the TIP projects so that the public has real tangible knowledge of the type of projects planned for Lynnwood in the near term.

## 3) Prioritization

An opportunity should be provided for the public to rank and prioritize various types of transporation improvements such as:

- Roadway Capacity (additional through/turn lanes, new street connections, other)
- Major Freeway Improvements (new crossings, new/modified interchanges, other)
- City Center (increasing capacity of existing roads, adding new grid streets, other)
- Non-Motorized - Pedestrian (sidewalks, trails, other)
- Non-Motorized - Bicycle (bicycle lanes, pavement markings, other)
- Street Maintenance (street crews, pavement preservation, traffic signals, other)
- Safety (center medians, traffic calming features, other)
- Intelligent Transportation (smarter traffic signals, traffic flow notifications, other)


## 4) Funding

The public should be informed of where their current tax dollars go and how Lynnwood's transportation projects are currently funded. After they gain a better understanding of the significant transportation funding shortfall, they will be better equipped to provide constructive feedback as to how best to fund transportation projects.

Funding strategies should also be discussed. These strategies include using grant dollars to fund projects which typically score well in funding competitions and using local funds to fund projects which may not. Low scoring grant projects typically include:

- Maintenance (pavement overlay, traffic signal rebuild, other)
- Operation (street crew and support staff)
- Residential non-motorized improvements (sidewalks, paths, other)

The outreach should include a brief summary of the various funding alternatives available to fund transportation projects. An opportunity should be provided for the public to rank and prioritize the various types of transporation funding alternatives such as:

- Transportation Impact Fees
- Transportation Benefit District - Vehicle registration fee
- Transportation Benefit District - Sales tax increase
- Levy Lid Lift
- Local Improvement Districts


## Distribution

The following are various methods available to conduct the public outreach plan and distribute the various information:

- Discuss at TBD Board meetings
- Discuss with the Lynnwood Transportation and Traffic Task Force (TTTF)
- Discuss with the Lynnwood Chamber of Commerce
- Publish an article in the Inside Lynnwood Newsletter
- Hold multiple public open houses, potentially in various areas around the City. This could include meeting with the various neighborhood groups which were involved in the various neighborhood traffic calming improvements completed over the past few years.
- Press release to the Everett Herald and Lynnwood Enterprise
- Develop a webpage
- Mail info/survey with utility billings to the entire city
- Provide info/survey to the Edmonds School District
- Provide info/survey at City Hall, Library, Recreation Center, Edmonds Community College
- Provide info/survey to the following bicycle groups: Edmonds Bike Group, B.I.K.E.S. Club of Snohomish County, Cascade Bicycle Group, Boeing Bike Club, Bicycle Alliance of Washington, others
- Other

