

AGENDA

City of Lynnwood

Transportation Benefit District (TBD) Board

Council Chambers, City Hall

19100 44th Avenue W, Lynnwood, WA, 98036

Special Meeting

August 4, 2014

7:00 P.M.

- 10 Call to Order**
- 20 Roll Call**
- 30 Approval of Minutes – Special Meeting July 21, 2014**
- 40 Voucher Approval**
- 50 Consideration, discussion, and possible action on appointment of Pro/Con
Committee members and other potential documents and procedures associated
with a ballot proposition to impose a sales and use tax**
- 60 Adjournment**

20 Roll Call

30 Approval of Minutes – Special Meeting July 21, 2014

40 Voucher Approval

**50 Consideration, discussion, and possible action on appointment of Pro/Con
Committee members and other potential documents and procedures associated
with a ballot proposition to impose a sales and use tax**

60 Adjournment

26 City of Lynnwood
27 Transportation Benefit District Board
28

29 **Item 30**

30
31 Special Meeting
32 August 4, 2014
33 7:00 P.M.
34
35

36
37 **TITLE:** Approval of Minutes – Special Meeting July 21, 2014
38

39 **DEPARTMENT:** Public Works
40

41 **DEPARTMENT CONTACT:** David Mach
42

43 **BACKGROUND:** At the May 24, 2010 Business Meeting, the Lynnwood City Council
44 approved Ordinance #2837, which amended the Lynnwood Municipal Code enacting a new
45 chapter, Transportation Benefit District (TBD), effectively establishing the district. The
46 governing board of the TBD is the Lynnwood City Council acting in an ex officio and
47 independent capacity per RCW 36.73.020(3).
48

49 The July 21, 2014 TBD meeting was the most recent meeting of the TBD Board.
50

51 **ACTION:** Approve the meeting minutes from the July 21, 2014 special meeting.
52

53 **ATTACHMENTS:** July 21, 2014 special meeting minutes
54

CITY OF LYNNWOOD
TRANSPORTATION BENEFIT DISTRICT BOARD SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES
July 21, 2014

10. CALL TO ORDER – The July 21, 2014 Special Meeting of the City of Lynnwood Transportation Benefit District (TBD) Board, held in the Council Chambers of Lynnwood City Hall, was called to order by Board President Simmonds at 7:16 p.m.

20.

ROLL CALL

Board President Loren Simmonds
Board Vice President Sid Roberts
Board Member Van AuBuchon
Board Member Benjamin Goodwin
Board Member M. Christopher Boyer
Board Member Ruth Ross
Board Member Ian Cotton

OTHERS ATTENDING

Public Works Director Franz
Project Manager Mach
Asst. City Admin. Ceneza
Community Devt. Director Krauss
Community Devt. Deputy Director Loch
Senior Planner Rivera
Interim HR Director Roberts
Acting City Atty. Reitan
Council Assistant Morris

Board President Simmonds reviewed the history and purpose of the Transportation Benefit District (TBD).

30. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – Special Meeting June 30, 2014

Motion made by Board Member Ross, seconded by Board Member Boyer, to approve the minutes of the March 10, 2014 Meeting.

It was noted that Mayor Smith should be listed as attending the June 30, 2014 meeting.

Motion passed unanimously to approve the minutes as corrected.

40. VOUCHER APPROVAL - None

50. CONSIDERATION, DISCUSSION, AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON RESOLUTION #5 AND OTHER VARIOUS DOCUMENTS AND PROCEDURES ASSOCIATED WITH A BALLOT PROPOSITION TO IMPOSE A SALES AND USE TAX

Public Works Director Franz reminded the TBD of the process staff and the consultant had gone through to get community input which had shown support for a TBD ballot measure. The TBD then directed staff to prepare a draft ballot proposal. Staff has prepared that and has included information about dos and don'ts related to this.

Project Manager Mach commented that staff looked at other cities (Stanwood, Marysville, and Arlington) in Snohomish County that have successfully passed sales tax TBDs. Staff has reviewed and modified samples from those jurisdictions to create a draft Resolution,

1 including proposition language, and an explanatory statement in conjunction with the City
2 Attorney. He explained that if the TBD wants to move forward with putting this proposal on
3 the November 4 General Election, the Resolution which contains the proposition language,
4 the explanatory statement, and the pro and con committee members information need to be
5 submitted by August 5. He then reviewed these documents as contained in the TBD packet.
6

7 Project Manager Mach explained that staff was looking for action on the Resolution,
8 comments on the explanatory statement, and suggestions for committee members.
9 Additionally, if the Board agrees, staff is recommending advertising on the website and in
10 the *The Herald* to solicit members for those committees.
11

12 Board President Simmonds thanked staff for their work on this. He solicited questions and
13 comments about the material in the packet.
14

15 Board Member Goodwin asked staff how recently the other cities had their ballot initiatives.
16 Project Manager Mach replied Marysville's was in the April 2014 Special Election,
17 Arlington's was in the August 2013 Primary Election, and Stanwood's was in the February
18 2013 Special Election. Board Member Goodwin asked if other cities had proposed ballots
19 that did not pass. Project Manager Mach commented that the City of Edmonds recently put a
20 vehicle tab tax on the ballot which did not pass. He wasn't aware of any others, but thought
21 it was a possibility.
22

23 Board Member Boyer referred to line 133 of the Resolution and lines 10 and 11 of the
24 Explanatory Statement and suggested the City provide examples of some of the "other"
25 projects. Director Franz replied that there is a 200-word limit on the Explanatory Statement.
26 Project Manager Mach commented that the City could get that information out in other ways
27 such as on the website, but there is some limitation as to the amount of information that the
28 City can put out.
29

30 Board Member Cotton suggested adding a banner to the City of Lynnwood website
31 regarding this, if allowed. Acting City Attorney Reitan stated that normal and regular contact
32 from the City is allowed, but nothing solely for the ballot would be allowed. Project
33 Manager Mach commented that anything staff is anticipating putting out regarding the ballot
34 measure would be run by the City Attorney to ensure it is done correctly.
35

36 Board Member Goodwin asked if the City would be bound to completing a certain project if
37 it was included in the explanation. Acting City Attorney Reitan suggested that they refer to
38 the list of TIP projects that were adopted by the Board. Board Member Boyer asked about
39 referencing the projects rated highly by the survey. Acting City Attorney Reitan indicated
40 that would be allowed.
41

42 Board President Simmonds commented that the ballot itself is actually asking for an action
43 that, if it passes, is in effect for ten years. On the other hand, from year to year when the
44 TBD adopts the budget, they do spell out specifically where the money is going to be
45 applied.
46

1 *Motion made by Board Member Boyer, seconded by Board Member Cotton, to adopt*
2 *Resolution #5.*

3
4 Public Works Director Franz asked about staff's ability to make minor changes to the
5 documents, such as adding a project, without having to come back to the TBD for specific
6 approval. Acting City Attorney Reitan replied that if it is the intent of the maker of the
7 motion that could be incorporated. Also, Section 4 talks about staff being able to
8 administratively tinker with the documents as long as it doesn't change the substance of the
9 document.

10
11 Board Member Boyer stated that was his intent.

12
13 *Board Vice President Roberts moved to amend the motion to reduce the percentage to 0.1%.*
14 *Board Member Goodwin seconded the motion.*

15
16 Board Vice President Roberts commented that the highest sales tax in the state of
17 Washington is 9.5%. He expressed concern that upon passage of the proposed ballot
18 measure the City of Lynnwood would have the highest sales tax in the state by 0.2%. He is
19 worried that that is too much and that it might jeopardize whether or not it passes.

20
21 Board Member AuBuchon commented that if the tax is cut in half the revenue would be cut
22 from \$4 million to \$2 million which would leave the City \$2 million short to meet the
23 programmed projects. Director Franz concurred, and stated that in his opinion the City
24 would continue to ride the roadway rating curve downward without the full amount. Staff
25 would feel bound to find ways to make up that difference. Board Member AuBuchon asked
26 about a combination of sales tax and vehicle tabs. Director Franz replied that they could, but
27 they have heard that tab fees are not as successful with voters.

28
29 Board Member Boyer commented that the proposed amendment would not solve the
30 problem that they set out to solve which would be illogical and undesirable. Additionally, he
31 doesn't think it makes much difference whether they are tied for first place or in first place
32 all alone. He thinks reducing the proposed sales tax would make this whole process almost
33 meaningless.

34
35 Board Member Cotton concurred with Board Member Boyer. He thinks the proposal is the
36 fairest to the people of the City. A tab fee would put the burden of the many people who use
37 the infrastructure on the shoulders of just the residents of the City. A sales tax more justly
38 represents the usage of the infrastructure. He acknowledged that Board Member Roberts
39 brought up a good point, but he thinks the original proposal is still the right way to go.

40
41 Board Member Goodwin said he agreed that they don't necessarily want to be at the top of
42 the pack in this situation, but bringing in \$2 million revenue wouldn't do what needs to be
43 done. He spoke in support of continuing on with the 0.2% sales tax proposal because it will
44 allow the City to do what needs to be done to improve the streets and is a fairer solution.

45
46 Board Member Ross commented that this is the first time Lynnwood has ever gone for a
47 ballot measure for transportation. She noted that the traffic is what people always complain

1 about with Lynnwood and it needs to be addressed. She thinks it would be detrimental to
2 only ask for some help.

3
4 Board Vice President Roberts spoke in support of the sales tax approach as opposed to the
5 vehicle tabs. His concern is that they run the risk of not getting this approved by asking for
6 too much. He also pointed out that the City of Lynnwood did not have Transportation
7 Impact Fees until 2010, but these are being gradually phased in. He reminded the Board that
8 that is a major revenue source. He also reminded the Board that Marysville went from 8.6%
9 to 8.8% sales tax, but Lynnwood is proposing going from 9.5% to 9.7%. When someone is
10 buying a car, this makes a big difference.

11
12 Public Works Director Franz clarified that Transportation Impact Fees, by law, cannot be
13 used for preservation or upkeep of pavement. They can only be used for capacity-related
14 projects. Board Vice President Roberts acknowledged this, but noted that it could definitely
15 have impacts on other projects that are coming out of the same pot of money. Staff agreed.

16
17 Board President Simmonds commented that there is always the possibility of any ballot
18 measure not passing. If that should happen, they can go back to the drawing board and take
19 some corrective action. He stressed that if this does pass it will have a positive impact on the
20 General Fund.

21
22 60. PROHIBITION ON USE OF PUBLIC FACILITIES TO SUPPORT OR OPPOSE BALLOT
23 MEASURE

24
25 Acting City Attorney Reitan discussed state law which prohibits the use of public facilities
26 to oppose or assist in re-election or a ballot measure. She summarized that the same rules
27 that applied to candidates also apply to ballot measures. Board Vice President Roberts asked
28 at what point these rules become applicable. Acting City Attorney Reitan replied that since
29 the TBD just adopted a resolution, it was her opinion that the rules were effective
30 immediately.

31
32 Board Member Goodwin asked for clarification on page 60.3, lines 77-83, regarding public
33 comment during public comment time. Acting City Attorney Reitan commented that it
34 would be important to make an announcement prior to the meeting. If someone did use
35 public comment period to express support or opposition of a ballot measure, it would be
36 important to let them know it's illegal.

37
38 Public Works Director Franz referred to the pro and con committees and stated staff would
39 like to put an ad in the paper and on the TBD website soliciting anyone who would be
40 interested in either committee. Staff would then discuss this with the Board President and
41 the City Attorney and submit those names by August 5 to the County. Board President
42 Simmonds spoke in support of this, but stressed that these conversations should be in the
43 presence of the City Attorney. Staff concurred.

44
45 70. ADJOURNMENT
46

Motion made by Board Member Boyer, seconded by Board Member Ross, to adjourn the meeting. Motion passed unanimously.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:05 p.m.

Loren Simmonds, TBD Board President

Lorenzo Hines, Jr.
Finance Director, acting as Board Treasurer

City of Lynnwood
Transportation Benefit District Board

Item 40

Special Meeting
August 4, 2014
7:00 P.M.

TITLE: Voucher Approval

DEPARTMENT: Finance/Public Works

DEPARTMENT CONTACT: Lorenzo Hines, Jr./David Mach

BACKGROUND: Due to the close proximity between recent meetings, there will be no voucher approval at this time. Voucher approval will be brought to the TBD Board at a future meeting.

ACTION: No action needed at this time.

ATTACHMENTS: None

City of Lynnwood
Transportation Benefit District Board

Item 50

Special Meeting
August 4, 2014
7:00 P.M.

TITLE: Consideration, discussion, and possible action on appointment of Pro/Con Committee members and other potential documents and procedures associated with a ballot proposition to impose a sales and use tax

DEPARTMENT: Public Works

DEPARTMENT CONTACT: Bill Franz/Jeff Elekes/David Mach

BACKGROUND: At the July 21, 2014 special meeting, the TBD Board approved resolution #5 which provides for a ballot proposition for the November 4, 2014 general election for a 0.2% sales and use tax increase to be used on various transportation improvements. Per Snohomish County Elections requirements, the TBD Board has the responsibility of appointing members to a committee that will write the statement for the measure and to a committee that will write the statement against the measure. Each committee is allowed up to three members, but can ask the advice of any number of individuals. The names of the Committee members will appear in the local voters' pamphlet in conjunction with the ballot measure submitted.

The Auditor's office requires that Pro/Con Committee appointments be submitted by August 5, 2014. Each committee shall identify a chair who will serve as the primary contact for the County Auditor's Office. In the event the TBD does not submit a Pro and/or a Con Committee for any ballot measure, the County Auditor's Office will make a good faith effort to identify interested individuals and appoint them to a committee.

The ballot measure arguments can be no more than 250 words and must be prepared by the committee formed. The Auditor's Office will not edit or advise committees on statements. Arguments will be shared by the Auditor's Office with the opposing committee once both arguments have been received. Each committee has an opportunity to formulate a rebuttal statement containing no more than 75 words. Rebuttal statements may only address the issues raised in the opposing statement without introducing new issues not previously addressed in either statement. Rebuttal statements are not shared with the opposing committee.

Staff advertised notices on the TBD website and the Herald requesting those interested in serving on either committee submit names on or before July 29th 2014. Staff received the following:

Pro Committee

No names submitted

Con Committee

Ted Hikel (see letter attached)

Jim Smith

The Board can nominate additional committee members if they so choose.

ACTION: Appoint Pro and Con Committee members

ATTACHMENTS:

- 1) Snohomish County 2014 Election Information Guide Key Dates
- 2) Email from Garth Fell, Snohomish County Elections and Recording Manager regarding Election Timeline
- 3) Snohomish County Local Voters' Pamphlet Administrative Rules
- 4) Pro Committee Appointment Form
- 5) Con Committee Appointment Form
- 6) Ted Hikel letter dated July 24, 2014
- 7) Revised Explanatory Statement (per direction received at the July 21, 2014 special TBD meeting)

Key Election Dates

November 4 General Election

Voter Registration Deadline

Last day to register by mail/online	October 6	(RCW 29A.08.140)
Last day to update any registration	October 6	(RCW 29A.08.140)
Last day to register in office	October 27	(RCW 29A.08.140)

Voting/Ballot Mailing

Military ballots mailed	September 19	(RCW 29A.40.070)
Accessible voting begins in office	October 15	(RCW 29A.40.160)
All other ballots mailed	October 16	(RCW 29A.40.070)

Candidate/Campaign

Filing as a write-in candidate	May 19 - October 17	(RCW 29A.24.311)
Voters' pamphlet statement/photo due	August 13, 5pm	(LVP admin rules)
Voters' pamphlet mailed	October 15	(LVP admin rules)

District Ballot Measures

Resolutions/measures due	August 5, 5pm	(RCW 29A.04.321)
Explanatory statements due	August 5, 5pm	(LVP admin rules)
Pro/Con committee appointments due	August 5, 5pm	(LVP admin rules)
Pro/Con statements due	August 19, 5pm	(LVP admin rules)
Pro/Con rebuttal statements due	August 22, 5pm	(LVP admin rules)

General Election Day

November 4	(RCW 29A.04.321)
------------	------------------

Certification of Election Results

County Certification	November 25	(RCW 29A.60.190)
State Certification	December 4	(RCW 29A.60.250)

2015 Election & Ballot Measure Due Date

(RCW 29A.04.311, 321)

<u>Election Date</u>	<u>Ballot Measure due by</u>
February 10, 2015	December 26, 2014
April 28, 2015	March 13, 2015
August 4, 2015	May 8, 2015
November 3, 2015	August 4, 2015

David Mach

From: Fell, Garth <Garth.Fell@co.snohomish.wa.us>
Sent: Wednesday, July 30, 2014 12:55 PM
To: David Mach
Cc: Mauch, Wendy; Sorgen, Heather
Subject: Election Timeline for the General

David,

Thanks for the voice message.

Here is a highlight of the major activities associated with the November 4, 2014 General Election.

Date	Description
August 5	Last day to submit ballot measures (a resolution, explanatory statement, and pro/con committee assignments) for the General Election
August 19	Deadline for pro/con committees to submit statements for the local voters' pamphlet
August 22	Deadline for pro/con committees to submit rebuttal statements for the local voters' pamphlet
September 19	Ballots mailed to military and overseas voters
October 6	Last day to update an existing voter registration and the last day to register to vote online or by mail
October 15	Combined state and local voters' pamphlets begin arriving in residential mail boxes
October 16	Ballots mailed to voters
October 27	Last day to register to vote in person at the county auditor's office
November 4	Election Day – the last day to mail or return a ballot for the General Election. Mailed ballots must be postmarked no later than November 4.
November 25	County election results certified

I am out of the office the next few days.

If you have any immediate questions, please feel free to contact Heather Sorgen (425.388.3321) or Wendy Mauch (425.388.3651).

Have great afternoon,

Garth Fell

Elections and Recording Manager
Snohomish County Auditor's Office
3000 Rockefeller Avenue M/S 505
Everett, WA 98201

Snohomish County Local Voters' Pamphlet

Administrative Rules

Adopted February 7, 2011 and Last Amended March 30, 2012

1. Purpose

The purpose of these Administrative Rules is to establish the broad rules and requirements for participation, production and distribution of the local voters' pamphlet.

2. Rules

A. Notice of Intent to Publish a Local Voters' Pamphlet

(RCW 29A.32.220, SCC 2.49.020)

The County shall notify all jurisdictions within the county of its intent to publish a local voters' pamphlet for a primary or election at least 90 calendar days before the publication and distribution of the local voters' pamphlet. Notification will be made via email or standard mail to the jurisdiction's contact on file with the Elections Division of the Auditor's Office.

B. Inclusion in the Local Voters' Pamphlet

(RCW 29A.32.220)

All jurisdictions with a race or measure on the ballot in a primary or election in which a local voters' pamphlet is produced will participate in the local voters' pamphlet. In the case of a city or town, the city or town as allowed by state law may opt to produce its own local voters' pamphlet.

C. Costs associated with the Local Voters' Pamphlet

(RCW 29A.32.220, RCW 29A.32.70, SCC 2.49.040, SCC 2.49.070)

The cost to produce, print and mail a local voters' pamphlet is an election cost that is included when jurisdictions with a race or measure on the ballot are billed for their portion of the cost of the election.

County Council has the authority to waive financial responsibility of any jurisdiction or district where participation in the local voters' pamphlet would create undue financial hardship. A petition from a jurisdiction or district must be submitted to the County Council no later than 60 days before the publication of the local voters' pamphlet. A jurisdiction receiving a waiver for local voters' pamphlet costs will continue to be responsible for their remaining portion of the cost of the election.

D. Notice of Deadlines and Requirements

The County Auditor's Office will notify jurisdictions of the publication date of the local voters' pamphlet as well as the deadlines for submission of materials to be included in the local voters' pamphlet. Candidates will be notified of all deadlines and requirements when they file for office.

E. Local Voters' Pamphlet Content

(RCW 29A.32.241, SCC 2.49.010)

The local voters' pamphlet shall include at a minimum:

1. A cover page containing the words "Official Local Voters' Pamphlet", "Snohomish County" and the date of the primary or election;
2. A list of jurisdictions that have measures or candidates in the pamphlet. This may be in the form of a Table of Contents or Index.
3. Information on how a person may register to vote and obtain a ballot.
4. The text of each measure accompanied by an explanatory statement prepared by the prosecuting attorney for any county measure or by the attorney for the jurisdiction submitting the measure for any non-county measure.
5. Arguments for and against each measure submitted by committees pursuant to RCW 29A.32.080.
6. Statements and photos submitted by candidates in races on the ballot.

F. Ballot Measure Explanatory Statements

(RCW 29A.32.230, RCW 29A.32.241, SCC 2.49.060)

An explanatory statement addresses the anticipated effect of a measure if passed into law.

The statement may be no more than 200 words and must be prepared by the the attorney for the jurisdiction submitting the proposition or measure. A letter of confirmation from the jurisdiction's attorney is also required.

If there is no letter from the jurisdiction's attorney or if a jurisdiction does not retain legal counsel for the review, the statement will be submitted to the Snohomish County Prosecuting Attorney's Office for review and final discretion on language.

Any challenge to an explanatory statement must be filed no later than 5 business days after the due date for explanatory statement submission. The challenge must be in the form of a petition to the Superior Court of Snohomish County with a copy to the Auditor's Office and the attorney who prepared or reviewed the statement. The Superior Court decision will be final.

G. Ballot Measure Argument (Pro/Con) Committees
(RCW 29A.32.280)

The legislative authority of a jurisdiction submitting a measure has the responsibility of appointing members to a committee that will write the statement for the measure and to a committee that will write the statement against the measure. Each committee is allowed up to 3 members, but can ask the advice of any number of individuals.

The Auditor's Office requests that all pro/con committee appointments be submitted by the deadline to submit measures and resolutions. State law requires that appointments be made no later than 45 calendar days prior to the publication of the local voters' pamphlet.

Each committee shall identify a chair who will serve as the primary contact for the County Auditor's Office.

In the event a jurisdiction does not submit a pro and/or a con committee for any ballot measure, the County Auditor's Office will make a good faith effort to identify interested individuals and appoint them to a committee.

H. Ballot Measure Arguments

A ballot measure argument is written to support or oppose a ballot measure. Each argument statement in support (Pro) or against (Con) a measure can be no more than 250 words and must be prepared by the committee formed pursuant to RCW 29A.32.080. The Auditor's Office will not edit or advise committees on statements.

Arguments will be shared by the Auditor's Office with the opposing committee once both arguments have been received. Each committee has an opportunity to formulate a rebuttal statement containing no more than 75 words. Rebuttal statements may only address the issues raised in the opposing statement without introducing new issues not previously addressed in either statement. Rebuttal statements are not shared with the opposing committee.

Argument and rebuttal statements must be signed by all members of the committee. It is not the role of the Auditor's Office to coordinate between committee members. Once submitted, argument and rebuttal statements cannot be withdrawn or changed. If committee members cannot agree on an argument or rebuttal statement, no argument or rebuttal statement will be presented in the voters' pamphlet. Failure to submit an argument statement will disqualify the committee from submitting a rebuttal statement.

The deadlines for each committee to submit their argument and rebuttal will be established by the Auditor's Office at least 30 calendar days in advance of the filing deadlines.

I. Candidate Statements
(RCW 29A.32.230)

A statement may be submitted by a candidate on the ballot in a primary or election in which a local voters' pamphlet is produced, except for candidates that will be included in a state, judicial, city or other voters' pamphlet or for Precinct Committee Officer candidates.

Each statement shall be limited to 200 words, unless the Auditor's Office utilizes the State online Voters Pamphlet submission tools where by the word counts will be based on the office as outlined in RCW 29A.32.121.

Candidate statements should only address campaign issues, plans, and ideas of the candidate. Statements shall not contain obscene, inappropriate or libelous language. Candidate statements shall not comment on or make any judgments about opponents.

The County Auditor's Office will not correct errors in spelling, grammar or punctuation.

Candidate contact information such as name, campaign address, phone number, email, and website will be listed separately from the candidate statement. If this information is included in the statement submission it will be counted towards the word count and repeated in the statement area.

The Election Division of the Auditor's Office will provide information on statement length, due date, submission requirements and the statement appeals process to candidates at the time of filing for office.

Candidate statement deadlines will be established by the Auditor's Office at least 30 calendar days before candidate filing.

J. Candidate Photo

A photo may be submitted by a candidate on the ballot for a primary or election in which a local voters' pamphlet is produced, except for candidates that will be included in a state, judicial, city or other voters' pamphlet or for Precinct Committee Officer candidates.

Photo requirements will be provided to candidates at the time of filing.

The photo may not be more than 5 years old.

The photo may not be an informal candid photo, cartoon, caricature or any other image that does not accurately portray the candidate.

The photo cannot show the candidate wearing a uniform, judicial robe, hat, anything bearing an insignia or otherwise suggest holding of a public office.

The Auditor's Office may adjust or crop photos as necessary.

K. Rejection of Arguments or Statements

(RCW 29A.32.230)

The County Auditor's Office reserves the right to reject any argument or statement if it does not meet requirements or if a statement or argument is deemed to be libelous or otherwise inappropriate. If a statement is rejected, the candidate or committee chair will be notified by email and given 48 hours from the time of notification to submit an adjusted statement. The time of notification will be the time the email was sent to the candidate or committee.

If the adjusted statement does not meet requirements, no statement will be printed for the candidate or measure.

Candidates or committee chairs may appeal the rejection of the statement by submitting a written appeal to the Snohomish County Prosecuting Attorney within 48 hours of notification. The Prosecuting Attorney's decision on the appeal will be final.

L. Format and distribution of the Local Voters' Pamphlet

(SCC 2.49.010)

The County Auditor's Office retains complete control over content and format including limiting the publication to an online electronic version or printed in combination with the State Voters' Pamphlet. If the County produces an online version only or in combination with the state, the requirements of RCW 29A.32 and these rules will be adhered to as much as is practical.

The Snohomish County Administrative Rules for the Local Voters' Pamphlet are adopted this by Snohomish County Auditor Carolyn Weikel.



Carolyn Weikel

Snohomish County Auditor

Pro Committee Appointment Form

Due by August 5, 2014

Snohomish County Primary Election Local Voters' Pamphlet

District

Resolution number

I hereby certify the below-named individuals have been appointed, each have consented to serve as indicated and understand their name(s) will be listed in the official Local Voters' Pamphlet.

Authorized Signature/Legislative Authority

Date

Pro Committee Members

Committee chair name

Phone

Committee chair email

Signature

Second member name

Phone

Email

Signature

Third member name

Phone

Email

Signature

The names of the above-mentioned persons will appear in the local voters' pamphlet in conjunction with the ballot measure submitted. Snohomish County will contact the committee chair person with submission requirements and deadlines. If the district is unable to identify and appoint members to a committee, Snohomish County Elections will attempt to find interested persons and appoint them to a committee. The first statement from this committee is due by August 19, 2014.

Return To: Snohomish County Auditor's Office
3000 Rockefeller Ave, MS 505
Everett, WA 98201

SO-10

Con Committee Appointment Form

Due by August 5, 2014

Snohomish County Primary Election Local Voters' Pamphlet

District

Resolution number

I hereby certify the below-named individuals have been appointed, each have consented to serve as indicated and understand their name(s) will be listed in the official Local Voters' Pamphlet.

Authorized Signature/Legislative Authority

Date

Con Committee Members

Committee chair name

Phone

Committee chair email

Signature

Second member name

Phone

Email

Signature

Third member name

Phone

Email

Signature

The names of the above-mentioned persons will appear in the local voters' pamphlet in conjunction with the ballot measure submitted. Snohomish County will contact the committee chair person with submission requirements and deadlines. If the district is unable to identify and appoint members to a committee, Snohomish County Elections will attempt to find interested persons and appoint them to a committee. The first statement from this committee is due by August 19, 2014.

Return To: Snohomish County Auditor's Office
3000 Rockefeller Ave, MS 505
Everett, WA 98201

SO-11

3820 – 191st Place S.W.
Lynnwood, WA 98036
July 24, 2014

Loren Simmonds, President
Lynnwood City Council
19100 – 44th Avenue W.
Lynnwood, WA 98036

Council President Simmons,

I am hereby volunteering to serve on the Committee to oppose the Transportation Benefit District ballot measure, increasing the sales tax in the City of Lynnwood by .02%, which is scheduled be placed on the November 4, 2014 ballot. I understand that three members of the Committee will write a Voter's Pamphlet statement outlining opposition to that measure.

Please inform me of other members of the community who wish to participate in writing the opposition statement. We will need to have time to prepare our submission for the County.

Thank you for your prompt reply.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Theodore R. Hikel, Jr.".

Theodore R. (Ted) Hikel, Jr.

cc: David Mach

Explanatory Statement

The City of Lynnwood formed a Transportation Benefit District (District) by ordinance on May 24, 2010. The purpose of the District is to fund construction, maintenance and operation of the City's street and traffic system, as allowed by state law. The District is authorized to and proposes to impose a new sales and use tax in the amount of two-tenths of one percent (0.2%) for a period of up to ten years upon approval by voters within the District. This tax is estimated to generate an average of \$4,000,000 of additional revenue per year, the proceeds of which will be dedicated to and used solely to fund the following transportation improvements: preventative and routine pavement maintenance and reconstruction, such as pavement repair, overlay, chip seal, and patching; street and traffic maintenance and operations, such as signing, striping, sidewalks, and traffic signals; and other capital projects identified in the City's Transportation Plan (for example, 196th Street SW from 48th Avenue W to 36th Avenue W and 36th Avenue W from Maple Road to 164th Street SW). More information about the improvements is available at Lynnwood City Hall and on the District's website at www.ci.lynnwood.wa.us/tbd.